

I. CALL TO ORDER.

A regular meeting of the City Council of the City of Litchfield was held in the City Council Chambers at the City Hall Monday, April 19, 2021, commencing at 5:30 p.m. Mayor Johnson called the meeting to order.

A. ROLL CALL:

Mayor	Keith Johnson	Present
Council Member-at-Large	Ron Dingmann	Present
Council Member Ward I	Eric Mathwig	Present
Council Member Ward II	Darlene Kotelnicki	Present
Council Member Ward III	Betty Allen	Present
Council Member Ward IV	John Carlson	Present
Council Member Ward V	Sara Miller	Present
City Administrator	Dave Cziok	Present
Assistant City Administrator	Joyce Spreiter	Present
Operations Coordinator	Mario Provencher	Present
City Attorney	Mark Wood	Present
City Engineer	Chuck DeWolf	Present
Independent Review	Brent Schacherer	Present
KLFD	Tim Bergstrom	Present

II. CONSENT AGENDA -

Each item on the Consent Agenda was considered. No items were added or deleted.

A. COUNCIL MINUTES TO APPROVE:

1. City Council Meeting – April 5, 2021
2. Work Session – April 5, 2021

B. FINANCIAL REPORTS TO APPROVE: None.

C. CLAIMS TO AUTHORIZE FOR PAYMENT –

1. Computer List of Bills for \$172,244.55

D. OTHER PAYMENTS: None.

E. ORDINANCES – SECOND READINGS:

1. Ordinance No. 808 –

April 19, 2021

**CITY OF LITCHFIELD
RESOLUTION NO. 21-4-81
SECOND READING FOR ORDINANCE NO. 808
FOR A STREET VACATION FOR
A PORTION OF SOUTH GEINITZ AVENUE**

WHEREAS, upon recommendation of the Planning Commission and after holding a public hearing, a First Reading was given on April 5, 2021, Resolution No. 21-4-71,

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED by the City Council of the City of Litchfield that this be the Second Reading of Ordinance No. 808 to vacate a portion of South Geinitz Avenue, in the City of Litchfield, Meeker County, Minnesota, described as follows:

Resolution No. 21-4-81– Cont’d.

Tract A:

The West Half of Geinitz Avenue lying southerly of the southerly right of way line of the Great Northern Railroad and northerly of the westerly extension of the south line of Lot 2, Block 1 of ISAACSON’S ADDITION TO THE CITY OF LITCHFIELD to the east line of Block 2 of said ISAACSON’S ADDITION TO THE CITY OF LITCHFIELD, according to the plat thereof, as recorded and on file in the office of the County Recorder of Meeker County.

Tract B:

The East Half of Geinitz Avenue lying southerly of the southerly right of way line of the Great Northern Railroad and northerly of the westerly extension of the south line of Lot 2, Block 1 of ISAACSON’S ADDITION TO THE CITY OF LITCHFIELD to the east line of Block 2 of said ISAACSON’S ADDITION TO THE CITY OF LITCHFIELD, according to the plat thereof, as recorded and on file in the office of the County Recorder of Meeker County,

AND BE IF FURTHER RESOLVED that this be entered into the Book of Ordinances as Ordinance No. 808, and into the Codified Ordinances of the City of Litchfield.

Adopted by the City Council this 19th day of April, 2021.

Attest:

ASSISTANT CITY ADMINISTRATOR

Approved:

MAYOR

F. LICENSES:

April 19, 2021

1. Lawful Gambling Permit – Songs of Summer Festival -

**CITY OF LITCHFIELD
RESOLUTION NO. 21-4-79
LAWFUL GAMBLING PERMIT FOR AN EXEMPT ORGANIZATION
SONGS OF SUMMER FESTIVAL**

WHEREAS, an application for a lawful gambling license exemption was considered from the Songs of Summer Festival,

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED to approve the application for an Exemption from a Lawful Gambling license for the Songs of Summer Festival on August 14th, 2021 at Litchfield Civic Arena, 900 North Gilman Avenue, Litchfield, and to direct submittal of a copy of this resolution with their application to the State Gambling Control Division.

Adopted by the City Council this 19th day of April, 2021.

Attest:

Approved:

ASSISTANT CITY ADMINISTRATOR

MAYOR

2. Lawful Gambling Permit – Litchfield Youth Baseball Association -

**CITY OF LITCHFIELD
RESOLUTION NO. 21-4-80
LAWFUL GAMBLING PERMIT FOR AN EXEMPT ORGANIZATION
LITCHFIELD YOUTH BASEBALL ASSOCIATION**

WHEREAS, an application for a lawful gambling license exemption was considered from the Litchfield Youth Baseball Association,

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED to approve the application for an Exemption from a Lawful Gambling license for the Litchfield Youth Baseball Association on July 14th, 2021 at Optimist Baseball Park, 710 East 10th Street, Litchfield, and to direct submittal of a copy of this resolution with their application to the State Gambling Control Division.

Adopted by the City Council this 19th day of April, 2021.

April 19, 2021

Approved:

Attest:

MAYOR

ASSISTANT CITY ADMINISTRATOR

3. Sales on Public Property – Litchfield Watercade

G. OTHER ROUTINE MATTERS: None

H. COMMUNICATIONS TO REVIEW : None

After review, it was moved by Council Member Mathwig, seconded by Council Member Allen, to adopt the following:

**CITY OF LITCHFIELD
RESOLUTION NO. 21-4-84
APPROVING CONSENT AGENDA**

WHEREAS, the Consent Agenda has been assembled and presented, and

WHEREAS, all requests to have items removed have been duly noted,

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED to approve the Consent Agenda as presented except for items, if any, which were requested to be removed for individual consideration.

Adopted by the City Council this 19th day of April, 2021.

Approved:

Attest:

MAYOR

ASSISTANT CITY ADMINISTRATOR

Upon roll call vote, all members present voted aye, whereupon the resolution was declared adopted.

III. TIMED ITEMS –

A. 5:30 P.M. PUBLIC HEARING – BOARD OF REVIEW

This being the time, date and place set, with all notices published and posted as required by law, Mayor Johnson opened the 2021 Local Board of Appeal and Equalization

April 19, 2021

hearing regarding property valuations in the City of Litchfield, where citizens had the opportunity to present and appeal, at 5:31 p.m.

An attendance list is on file.

Meeker County Appraiser Travis Scoblic addressed the Local Board of Appeal and Equalization (City Council) and citizens on behalf of the City of Litchfield. He said there were no changes in Litchfield as far as property values go other than Longfellow Townhomes which have been selling for more than market value. He explained the State requires values to be between 90% and 105% compared to what properties are selling for and what the Assessor's Office has them valued at. The City was at about 97.26% which the Meeker County Assessor's Office was comfortable with. Scoblic added there were no increases however there may have been changes if properties depreciated.

Mayor Johnson questioned why properties would depreciate. Meeker County Appraiser Scoblic responded that as properties get older adjustments are made.

Meeker County Appraiser Scoblic gave an overview of the information provided by the Meeker County Assessor's office included in the Council agenda packet. He said there were 73 good sales in Litchfield with 75 rejected sales. He discussed the unqualified sales explaining that sales can be rejected based upon 31 codes, referring to the Sales Ratio Reject Code Sheet included in the Council packet.

Council Member Kotelnicki questioned the sale included in the information from the Meeker County Assessor's Office which indicates the City of Litchfield as both buyer and the seller. Meeker County Appraiser Scoblic responded that the sale may have been entered incorrectly and that he would check on it. Council Member Kotelnicki also expressed concern about the amount in that she understood it was \$92,500. City Administrator Cziok responded that the actual purchase price was \$70,000 explaining that Litchfield Golf Club, Inc. had given the City \$20,000 credit from the original price of \$90,000 for improvements to the clubhouse.

Meeker County Appraiser Scoblic explained that there were citizens of Harmon Meadows wanting to address the Board concerning taxes.

Marilyn Meline, 117 Harmon Lane, and Tom Hoeg, 223 Harmon Lane, addressed the Council as representatives of individuals living in Harmon Meadows. Ms. Meline read a letter that was distributed to Council, City staff and the media (on file).

Mayor Johnson asked City Administrator Cziok what can be done about the situation. City Administrator Cziok responded that he is not aware of a situation where the City can change the tax rate for a specific development. Secondly, Cziok explained that the street does not meet City street standards adding the street would need to be widened to meet the standards.

Council Member Dingmann asked City Administrator Cziok to elaborate further, understanding that the Planned Unit Development (PUD) does not meet the requirements with the street width, what else was needed to comply. City Administrator Cziok said he would need

April 19, 2021

to look into further. Council Member Dingmann questioned when the PUD was developed. Marilyn Meline responded in 2005.

Council Member Allen inquired as to whether the developer answered any of these questions. Ms. Meline responded the developer has had his attorney respond, adding some of it is not his concern and some would be completed when developed. She explained that the street is the original surface, describing the condition being poor and badly cracked. Council Member Allen asked how many lots were left to sell. Ms. Meline replied that 2 have not been sold to her knowledge.

Council Member Kotelnicki discussed clarification between PUD's and Homeowner's Associations. She was surprised the City would have approved. The Harmon Meadows PUD is in her ward so she has been hearing from constituents. Her description that she wanted submitted for public record is "sell the dream and service the nightmare". Kotelnicki said the dream was sold out there and it is on our shoulders, adding they (the property owners) don't want this to happen again.

Mayor Johnson spoke on the 5 PUD developments within the City that are all across the board. He said they all take care of their properties within their situations.

Council Member Kotelnicki said the developer promised them a completed road. Council Member Dingmann agreed with Kotelnicki in that PUD's are problematic. He said the developer is trying to save money. Dingmann feels that it is a legal problem between the PUD and the developer, adding with the nature of the PUD there is always going to be a problem.

Marilyn Meline said they have been doing their own snow removal and are anticipating having to haul out snow. She is requesting the same treatment as other property owners in the City. She commented that they were going on beacon comparing property values and that they are paying the same taxes. Ms. Meline added they do not get a reduction in taxes and are paying for services for Litchfield residents.

Meeker County Appraiser Scoblic explained that this hearing has absolutely nothing to do with taxes other than putting a value on properties. He added that values are based on sales and assessors look at sales in subdivisions by themselves. City Attorney Wood agreed that the hearing is based solely on value and the value is supported by prior sales. Mayor Johnson reaffirmed sales justify values.

Gail Fox, 123 Harmon Lane, explained that she purchased a home for \$60,000 less than the market value is on taxes. She said Travis (Meeker County Appraiser) came over and appraised the property. She added that it is a 15 year old home and she would have not bought the home.

Marilyn Meline commented that the street sweeper and mosquito sprayer do not provide service to the development along with properties also being responsible for street repairs.

April 19, 2021

Mayor Johnson asked administration where we go. City Administrator Cziok replied he feels it is a private property matter. He explained the City has had these issues and entertained associations in that if they make the improvements to City standards, the City would take it over. He said the City was approached by the Ripley Greens Association concerning maintenance of their lift station. The City of Litchfield offered to take over maintenance of the lift station if it was brought up to City standards by the Association in which the Association has chosen not to do so at this point. City Administrator Cziok said if the Harmon Meadows Association wants to widen the street to City standards, the City could consider taking over the street.

Marilyn Meline expressed concern about snow removal and commented on going to the developer to leave lots open for snow. She questioned whether the City could maintain the road and offer both street sweeping and mosquito control. Mayor Johnson reiterated the comments of City Administrator Cziok. Mayor Johnson commented the snow removal costs went up because of having to remove snow.

Council Member Kotelnicki inquired as to whether the street sweeper services were not provided because of the condition of the road. City Administrator Cziok responded that he advises no street sweeping services due to the City accepting a significant amount of liability.

Council Member Carlson discussed asking the developer to leave the remaining lots to be sold for the purpose of putting snow on adding however that is difficult in that sales of the lots are tied into fixing the road. Marilyn Meline said they feel the pressure to get resolved before the last 2 lots get sold.

Tom Hoeg commented legal contention will be on the private road with both the City and the developer. He discussed the absence of the Harmon Meadows Development Agreement. Marilyn Meline said she feels the City is not equipped to talk about it tonight. Mayor Johnson referred to Steven's Addition PUD and holding to standards. Mr. Hoeg said there is no documentation on it being a private road. City Administrator Cziok disagreed with Mr. Hoeg's comment and recommend they get an attorney. Mr. Hoeg would like to see the file. City Administrator Cziok responded that he has shared what the City has.

Following are property owners from Harmon Meadows who requested the Meeker County Assessor's Office review their properties:

1. Parcel No. 27-2892000 – The property owner requested the Meeker County Assessor's Office view the property for a current valuation.

Meeker County Appraiser Scoblic said the property sold on 9/23/20 for \$246,000 and the value placed on the property by the Meeker County Assessor's Office was \$250,300.

Parcel No. 27-2897000 – The property owner requested the Meeker County Assessor's Office view the property for a current valuation.

April 19, 2021

Meeker County Appraiser Scoblic said the property sold on 9/28/20 for \$282,750 and the value placed on the property by the Meeker County Assessor's Office was \$259,300.

Parcel No. 27-2894000 – The property owner requested the Meeker County Assessor's Office view the property for a current valuation.

Meeker County Appraiser Scoblic said the property sold on 1/6/21 for \$225,000 and the value placed on the property by the Meeker County Assessor's Office was \$285,000. He explained that the house had an additional 400 square feet compared to the others.

Meeker County Appraiser Scoblic recommended no changes to the 2021 EMV for Parcel No. 27-2892000, Parcel No. 27-2897000 and Parcel No. 27-2894000 based on the 2 sales that took place. He said they are between 90% to 105%. Scoblic explained he went back 5 years and the market values were right on except for the out liar selling for \$282,750. He said the Board has the option to raise, lower or keep the market values the same. He confirmed Council Member Dingmann's question on the appeal process in that property owners can appeal to the Meeker County Board of Appeal and Equalization in June.

Meeker County Appraiser Scoblic followed up by saying the Board of Review process pertains to valuation of property and taxes are addressed at the Truth in Taxation hearing when the City is considering budgets.

Council Member Allen asked if all the homes were completed this year and this is what the completed price is going to be. Meeker County Appraiser Scoblic responded that is what they are listed for currently without even being finished. Allen questioned whether the buildings could actually go up. Scoblic responded that he will go out and measure all of the buildings that are out there and they come up with a value based on the condition of the property, the property square footage, and the year built. He responded to Allen's question concerning appliances in that appliances are considered personal property and therefore not included.

Meeker County Appraiser Scoblic said the property owners can gather evidence and appeal to the Meeker County Board of Appeal and Equalization. This is another avenue the property owners can pursue.

After review, it was moved by Council Member Dingmann, seconded by Mayor Johnson, that the 2021 EMV remain the same for Parcel No. 27-2892000, Parcel No. 27-2897000 and Parcel No. 27-2894000. Discussion followed.

Council Member Miller expressed her agreement with what everyone has said. She explained that this was not the first time that we (the Council) have been dealing with the PUD situation. She would agree with Council Member Kotelnicki that if the City has another developer come in and want to do this with an association we (the Council) need to be cautious because this keeps happening. She told the group of citizens that she thinks in all honesty they have the Council's empathy and can see their frustration and it's something the Council needs to keep their eyes on because there is a cause and effect,

April 19, 2021

adding we (the Council) are hearing you. Council Member Kotelnicki reiterated her comment from earlier “sell the dream and service the nightmare”.

Council Member Kotelnicki questioned seeking guidance from the League of Minnesota Cities on how to handle these homeowner associations. City Administrator Cziok responded that he has reached out to the League of Minnesota Cities with existing associations and their advice was that was extremely difficult to undo. He said, for one, they (Harmon Meadows) were established as 55 plus community and questioned if that rule was going to stay in effect or can anyone move in there. He said there is a whole litany of things that they (LMC) recommend. City Administrator Cziok explained that the City has to follow the original intent that was there barring any legal avenues that the association wants to pursue. He encouraged them to explore those legal options and see if there is a resolution.

Mayor Johnson called for the question. Upon roll call vote, all members present voted aye on the motion made by Council Member Dingmann, seconded by Mayor Johnson, that the 2021 EMV remain the same for Parcel No. 27-2892000, Parcel No. 27-2897000 and Parcel No. 27-2894000, whereupon the motion carried.

2. Parcel No. 27-1184000 – Carter Nelson requested the Meeker County Assessor’s Office view the property for a current valuation.

Meeker County Appraiser Scoblic said Mr. Nelson is in the process of getting all the information needed in order for the Meeker County Assessor’s Office to make a proper assessment of the property. He recommended no changes be made to the 2021 EMV in the amount of \$645,000. He explained that by acknowledging the fact that Mr. Nelson made an appeal to the local board, he will now be eligible to come to the Meeker County Board of Appeal and Equalization in June.

After review, it was moved by Council Member Mathwig, seconded by Council Member Miller, that the 2021 EMV remain the same for Parcel No. 27-1184000. Upon roll call vote, all members present voted aye, whereupon the motion carried.

With no further comments, the public hearing was closed at 6:35 p.m.

IV. ACKNOWLEDGEMENT OF AUDIENCE/PRESENTATIONS – None

V. COMMISSION RECOMMENDATIONS – None

VI. COMMITTEE REPORTS – None

VII. LEGAL CONSIDERATIONS – None.

VIII. BUSINESS –

A. CITY WIDE CLEAN-UP –

Mayor Johnson outlined the City wide clean-up event for 2021. The Council reviewed the documentation to be utilized and distributed in the process.

After review, it was moved by Council Member Miller, seconded by Council Member Mathwig, to adopt the following:

**CITY OF LITCHFIELD
RESOLUTION NO. 21-4-85
AUTHORIZE CITY WIDE CLEAN-UP EVENT FOR 2021**

WHEREAS, the City wishes to promote community beautification awareness, and

Resolution No. 21-4-85– Cont’d.

WHEREAS, a City wide clean-up event has been held for the several years and has proven to be successful,

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED that the City Council of the City of Litchfield hereby authorizes the City wide clean-up event to be scheduled for May 22, 2021 from 8:00 a.m. to 10:30 a.m. with a base fee of \$25.00/load with extra fees for additional items as outlined in the flyer.

Adopted by the City Council this 19th day of April, 2021.

Approved:

Attest:

MAYOR

ASSISTANT CITY ADMINISTRATOR

Upon roll call vote, all members present voted aye, whereupon the resolution was declared adopted.

B. DOWNTOWN FAÇADE GRANT –

The Council reviewed the proposed resolution for consideration in approving a Downtown Façade Grant program that was prepared based upon Council motions from the 4/05/21 Council meeting.

April 19, 2021

After review, it was moved by Council Member Mathwig, seconded by Council Member Carlson, to adopt the following:

**CITY OF LITCHFIELD
RESOLUTION NO. 21-4-82
APPROVE DOWNTOWN FACADE GRANT
FOR DOWNTOWN HISTORIC COMMERCIAL DISTRICT**

WHEREAS, the City authorized providing up to \$1,000 in grant dollars requiring a match by the property owner within the Downtown Historic Commercial District from 2013-2016, and

WHEREAS, the grant allowed for facade improvements that meet design guidelines including, but not limited to, signage, painting of wood, re-pointing of brick, glass repair, door, and window repair, and

WHEREAS, the Council approved an Exterior Building Improvement Grant beginning in 2017 replacing the Façade Improvement grant allowing property owners more flexibility, and

WHEREAS, the Exterior Building Improvement Grant approved up to \$5,000 requiring a match by the property owner within the Downtown Historic Commercial District retroactive to January 1, 2017 which was accepted through December 31, 2019, and

WHEREAS, no grant was offered in 2020 due to the MnDOT construction project completed, and

WHEREAS, the Council discussed offering a Downtown Façade Grant program retroactive to January 1, 2021, and

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED that City Council hereby approves providing an Downtown Façade Grant up to \$8,000 per parcel require a one to one match from the property owner, and

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED the Downtown Façade Grant program will not include in-kind or DIY hours, and

Resolution No. 21-4-82– Cont'd.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that applicants for said grant program be in good standing with the City including current with property taxes and utilities, and

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that completion of a Certificate of Appropriateness be required before improvement takes place as part of the grant process, and

April 19, 2021

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED the City Council, with staff input, will identify nuisance property and code violations that need to be addressed by the property owner in order to be considered for a grant award,

AND BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that the proposed grant be funded through the Downtown/Heritage Preservation fund and the program be capped at \$80,000.

Adopted by the City Council this 19th day of April, 2021.

Attest:

Approved:

ASSISTANT CITY ADMINISTRATOR

MAYOR

Upon roll call vote, all members present voted aye, whereupon the resolution was declared adopted.

The Council reviewed the list they had requested City staff provide that identified potential nuisance properties. The Council discussed what on the list to require action on to be in compliance in order to apply for the new Downtown Façade Grant program.

After review, it was moved by Council Member Mathwig, seconded by Council Member Dingmann, to adopt the following:

**CITY OF LITCHFIELD
RESOLUTION NO. 21-4-86
APPROVE NOTIFICATION OF DOWNTOWN FACADE GRANT
AVAILABILITY AND REQUIREMENT**

WHEREAS, the City approved of a Downtown Façade Grant program, and

WHEREAS, the Council tasked City staff with providing a list of potential nuisance properties that need to be taken care of and comply in order to be able to apply for the new Downtown Façade Grant, and

WHEREAS, the Council reviewed the completed list,

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED that City Council hereby approves City staff format a letter to downtown property owners about availability of the Downtown Façade Grant program, and

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that City staff utilizes the list that Administration put together to include in said letter that before property owners are eligible for grant dollars they need to resolve any issues identified as code violations by the City of Litchfield.

Adopted by the City Council this 19th day of April, 2021.

April 19, 2021

Approved:

Attest:

MAYOR

ASSISTANT CITY ADMINISTRATOR

Upon roll call vote, all members present voted aye, whereupon the resolution was declared adopted.

C. DOWNTOWN LIGHTS –

City Administrator Cziok reviewed summer lighting options for downtown. He shared the variables identified by staff that makes it more difficult to accomplish the concept of hanging lights across main street. The other concept discussed involves stringing lights between existing acorn lights. City Administrator Cziok provided cost estimates to both concepts.

After review, it was moved by Mayor Johnson, seconded by Council Member Kotelnicki, to adopt the following:

**CITY OF LITCHFIELD
RESOLUTION NO. 21-4-87
APPROVE DOWNTOWN LIGHTING**

WHEREAS, the Litchfield Downtown Council, Inc. requested the Council consider lighting concepts to make downtown more inviting with a warm atmosphere, and

WHEREAS, the first concept includes hanging lights across main street, similar to how the Christmas garland and lights hang, and

WHEREAS, the second concept includes stringing lights between the existing acorn lights, and

WHEREAS, staff has reviewed the first concept and a number of variables were identified including inconsistencies with guidewire location, electric infrastructure, and anchor location, and

WHEREAS, staff would recommend delaying the first concept until next year allowing more time to study and develop a plan to address the inconsistencies, and

WHEREAS, staff reviewed the second option and is reasonably sure that the City could accomplish hanging lights between the acorn lights located downtown in 2021, and

WHEREAS, the Council reviewed the proposed costs for the second concept in the amount of \$4,279.00 which staff estimates around \$5,000.00 for completion,

April 19, 2021

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED that the City Council of the City of Litchfield hereby approves hanging lights between the acorn lights located downtown, and

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that there be a two year trial period on the approved lighting concept,

AND BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that the lights are scheduled to be on 8:00 p.m. until midnight from the months of May through October.

Adopted by the City Council this 19th day of April, 2021.

Approved:

Attest:

MAYOR

ASSISTANT CITY ADMINISTRATOR

Upon roll call vote, all members present voted aye, whereupon the resolution was declared adopted.

D. APPOINTMENTS TO MAKE –

1. Housing and Redevelopment Authority - One Regular Term until 5/1/26
Incumbent: Larry Jensen
Applicants: Larry Jensen

After review, it was moved by Council Member Miller, seconded by Council Member Mathwig, to adopt the following:

**CITY OF LITCHFIELD
RESOLUTION NO. 21-4-83
LITCHFIELD HOUSING AND REDEVELOPMENT
AUTHORITY BOARD APPOINTMENT**

WHEREAS, there is an opening on the Housing and Redevelopment Authority Board,
and

WHEREAS, this opening has been advertised, and

WHEREAS, there was one applicant,

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED to reappoint Larry Jensen to the Housing and Redevelopment Authority Board for a term until May 1, 2026.

April 19, 2021

Adopted by the City Council this 19th day of April, 2021.

Attest:

Approved:

ASSISTANT CITY ADMINISTRATOR

MAYOR

Upon roll call vote, all members present voted aye, whereupon the resolution was declared adopted.

IX. ADDITIONAL ITEMS – None.

X. ANNOUNCEMENTS –

A. WORK SESSION –

The Council held a work session to discuss North Star Processing utilizing First District Association's wastewater capacity, along with the American Recovery Plan and reviewing the priority list. Minutes of the work session are on file. No formal action was taken.

XI. ADJOURNMENT –

The City Council meeting adjourned at 7:35 p.m. by unanimous consent.

Attest:

Approved:

ASSISTANT CITY ADMINISTRATOR

MAYOR